IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 2613 of 2005

Between:

Sri. L. Nandu singh, S/o. Kishna Singh,
R/o. 1-43/6, Gachi Bowli, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad Commissionerate,
Cyberabad, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District.
2 Mr. Sudarshan, circle Inspector of Police, Rayadurgam Police Station,
Serilingam Mandal, Ranga Redday District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the first Respondent and Second Respondents harassment by calling this Petitioner to the police station daily, and not furnishing the details of the rowdy sheet pending against him and not reviewing and not cancelling the rowdy sheet of the year 1990 is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and to order the 2nd respondent to not to restrain the personal liberty of this petitioner by calling him to the Police Station daily and to remove the rowdy sheet against this Petitioner and to pass such other order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.BANDI.VARAPRASADA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

THE HONORUABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NOs.2613 OF 2005

ORDER:

Petitioner seeks a declaration that the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in harassing him by calling to the police station daily and not furnishing the details of the rowdy sheet pending against him and not reviewing and not canceling the rowdy sheet, is illegal and arbitrary.

The learned Government Pleader submits that the petitioner has been involved in five crimes and out of the said five crimes, he was convicted in two crimes and the other three crimes are still under investigation, and only in that connection, he is being called to the police station for the purpose of investigation. The investigating authority always has the power to call any person to the police station to conduct investigation, but in accordance with law. It is needless to mention that such repeated calling of any citizen, by the investigating agency to the police station with unusual frequency, is uncalled for.

However, the respondents may furnish the particulars as required by the petitioner, if it is felt appropriate and desirable.

With the above observation, the writ petition is dismissed.

_____

(***JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA)***

Dt.02.3.2005.

Mdaa.

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad Commissionerate,
Cyberabad, Hyderabad, Ranga Reddy District.
2 Mr. Sudarshan, circle Inspector of Police, Rayadurgam Police Station,
Serilingam Mandal, Ranga Redday District.

3. two C.Cs to G.P.for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

4) 2 CD copies

5) 1 CC to MR.VARAPRASADA RAO

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{*SPJS****}***